Case: 4:24-cv-00520-JAR Doc. #: 8 Filed: 04/16/24 Page: 1 of 5 PageID #: 520

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI

STATE OF MISSOURI,)
STATE OF ARKANSAS,)
STATE OF FLORIDA,)
STATE OF GEORGIA,)
STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA,	Civil Action No. 24-cv-520
STATE OF OHIO, and)
STATE OF OKLAHOMA))
Plaintiffs,))
v.))
JOSEPH R. BIDEN, Jr., in his official)
capacity as President of the United States,))
MIGUEL A. CARDONA, in his official)
capacity as Secretary, United States)
Department of Education, and))
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF)
EDUCATION,)
Defendants.))

PLAINTIFF STATES' MOTION FOR LEAVE TO EXCEED THE PAGE LIMIT FOR THE MEMORADNDUM IN SUPPORT OF THEIR MOTIONS FOR A STAY OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, A TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER AND PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

Under Local Rule 4.01(D), a party may file "any motion, memorandum, or brief which exceeds fifteen (15) numbered pages" with leave of the Court. Plaintiff States respectfully request such leave for their memorandum in support of their motions for temporary restraining order and preliminary injunction (filed concurrently with this motion). Because the legal issues are complex and significant—involving the legality of a federal agency asserting broad authority to cancel hundreds of billions of dollars of debt—leave is appropriate.

As explained in Plaintiff States' memorandum, Defendants' unlawful Final Rule is already inflicting ongoing harms on Plaintiffs, and threatens to immediately impose additional injuries when it goes into full effect in two months. To stop the existing harm, and further prevent imminent injuries, Plaintiffs brought this suit. To show their entitlement to relief, Plaintiff States must analyze Defendants' authority under the Higher Education Act of 1965 ("the HEA"), and its many amendments, as well as Defendants' Final Rule, titled "Improving Income Driven Repayment for the William D. Ford Federal Direct Loan Program and the Federal Family Education Loan (FFEL) Program." *See* 88 Fed. Reg. 43,820. This lawsuit raises constitutional, statutory, and procedural claims. The analysis also develops four theories of standing for the plaintiffs.

In short, the issues raised in the Plaintiff States' memorandum are complex and significant, and so require more than 15 pages to explain. *See Monsanto Co. v. E.I. DuPont de Nemours & Co.*, 2012 WL 5397601, at *9 (E.D. Mo. Nov. 2, 2012) ("Recognizing the complexity of the action and the significance of the issues involved, this Court granted [the] request for a substantial expansion of the briefing page limitations."). For these reasons, Plaintiff States respectfully ask the Court to grant leave to file a memorandum that exceeds 15 pages. The memorandum is 50 pages long, excluding tables, signatures, and certificates of service and compliance.

On April 16, 2024, Plaintiffs conferred with counsel for Defendants on this motion. They take no position.

Date: April 16, 2024

ANDREW BAILEY Attorney General of Missouri

/s/ Joshua M. Divine

Joshua M. Divine, #69875MO
Solicitor General
Reed C. Dempsey #1697941DC
Deputy Solicitor General
Samuel Freedlund, #73707MO
Deputy Solicitor General
Missouri Attorney General's Office
Post Office Box 899
Jefferson City, MO 65102
Tel. (573) 751-1800
Fax. (573) 751-0774
josh.divine@ago.mo.gov
samuel.freeland@ago.mo.gov
reed.dempsey@ago.mo.gov

Counsel for Plaintiff State of Missouri Lead Counsel for State Plaintiffs

TIM GRIFFIN Attorney General of Arkansas

/s/Nicholas Bronni

Nicholas J. Bronni
Solicitor General

Dylan L. Jacobs
Deputy Solicitor General

Office of the Arkansas Attorney General
323 Center Street, Suite 200

Little Rock, AR 72201
(501) 682-3661

Nicholas.Bronni@arkansasag.gov

Dylan.Jacobs@arkansasag.gov

Counsel for State of Arkansas Respectfully Submitted,

ASHLEY MOODY Attorney General

/s/James H. Percival

James H. Percival

Chief of Staff
Office of the Attorney General
The Capitol, Pl-01
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1050
(850) 414-3300
(850) 410-2672 (fax)
james.percival@myfloridalegal.com

Counsel for the State of Florida

CHRISTOPHER M. CARR Attorney General

/s/Stephen J. Petrany

Stephen J. Petrany
Solicitor General
Office of the Attorney General
40 Capitol Square, SW
Atlanta, Georgia 30334
(404) 458-3408
spetrany@law.ga.gov

Counsel for State of Georgia

DREW H. WRIGLEY Attorney General

/s/ Philip Axt

Philip Axt
Solicitor General
North Dakota Attorney General's Office
600 E. Boulevard Ave., Dept. 125
Bismarck, ND 58505
Telephone: (701) 328-2210
pjaxt@nd.gov

Counsel for State of North Dakota

DAVE YOST Ohio Attorney General

/s/ T. Elliot Gaiser

T. Elliot Gaiser
Solicitor General
Mathura J. Sridharan
Deputy Solicitor General
Office of the Attorney General
365 East Broad Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215
Phone: (614) 466-8980
thomas.gaiser@ohioago.gov

Counsel for Plaintiff State of Ohio

GENTNER DRUMMOND Attorney General of Oklahoma

/s/ Garry M. Gaskins, II

Gentner Drummond, OBA #16645

Attorney General
Garry M. Gaskins, II, OBA #20212

Solicitor General
Office of the Attorney General
State of Oklahoma
313 N.E. 21st Street
Oklahoma City, OK 73105
Phone: (405) 521-3921
Fax: (405) 522-4815
gentner.drummond@oag.ok.gov
garry.gaskins@oag.ok.gov

Counsel for State of Oklahoma

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE & COMPLIANCE

I certify that on April 16, 2024, a true and accurate copy of the foregoing document was electronically filed through the Court's CM/ECF System and that a copy of the foregoing will be sent via email to all parties by operation of the Court's electronic filing system, consistent with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5(b).

I further certify that the foregoing document contains 309 words, exclusive of matters designated for omission, as counted by Microsoft Word.

/s/ Joshua M. Divine

Counsel for Plaintiff State of Missouri Lead Counsel for Plaintiff States